arXiv:1511.03081v1 [math.DS] 10 Nov 2015

ON DYNAMICS OF THE SIERPIŃSKI CARPET

JAN P. BOROŃSKI AND PIOTR OPROCHA

Abstract. We prove that the Sierpiński curve admits a homeomorphism with strong mixing properties. We also prove that the constructed example does not have Bowen's specification property.

1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to exhibit a homeomorphism of the Sierpiński curve (known as the planar universal curve or Sierpiński carpet) with some strong mixing properties. In 1993, Aarts and Oversteegen proved that the Sierpiński curve admits a transitive homeomorphism [1], answering a question of Gottschalk. They also showed that it does not admit a minimal one. Earlier, in 1991 Kato proved that the Sierpiński curve, does not admit expansive homeomorphisms [11]. In [2] the authors proved that the Sierpiński curve admits a homeomorphism with positive entropy. They also showed that it admits a minimal group action (by [1] it cannot be done using single homeomorphism). There has been quite a lot of interest in dynamical properties of the planar universal curve, also due to its occurrence as Julia sets of various complex maps (see e.g. [7]). Nonetheless, we were unable to find any examples in the literature that would explicitly show homeomorphisms of the Sierpński curve with chaocity beyond Devaney chaos. The writing of the note was also motivated by some recent questions. During the Workshop on Dynamical Systems and Continuum Theory, at University of Vienna, in June of 2015 the following question was raised.

Question 1.1. *Suppose a 1-dimensional continuum X admits a mixing homeomorphism. Must X be $\frac{1}{n}$-indecomposable for some n?*

Recall that a continuum X is $\frac{1}{n}$-*indecomposable*, if given n mutually disjoint subcontinua of X at least one of them must have empty interior in X. Note that the Sierpiński curve is $\frac{1}{n}$-indecomposable for no $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is because it is locally connected, so every point has an arbitrarily small connected neighborhood. Our example is quite simple, however it relies on many nontrivial facts from topology and ergodic theory. In principle, the general strategy is very similar to the one in [1], but the starting point is a bit different. We start with an Anosov torus diffeomorphism, which allows us to say much more about the dynamics of the constructed map.

Theorem 1.2. *The Sierpiński curve S admits a homeomorphism $H\colon S \to S$ such that:*

(1) H has a fully supported measure μ, such that (H, μ) is Bernoulli,
(2) H has a dense set of periodic points,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B45, 54F15, 54H50.

(3) H does not have specification property.

Since every Bernoulli measure is strongly mixing, and μ in Theorem 1.2 is fully supported, we immediately obtain the following result, answering Question 1.1 in the negative.

Corollary 1.3. *The Sierpiński curve S admits a topologically mixing homeomorphism with dense set of periodic points.*

By the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it seems very likely that Aarts-Oversteegen technique [1] which we employ here, will never lead to a map with specification property. This motivates the following natural question.

Question 1.4. *Does the Sierpiński curve admit a homeomorphism with the specification property?*

2. Preliminaries

By a dynamical system (X,T) we mean a compact metric space (X,d) with a continuous map $T\colon X\to X$.

We identify $\mathbb{T}^2$ with the quotient space $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ and denote $K^2=[-1/2,1/2]^2$.

A *Sierpiński curve* is any set homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{int}D_i$ where

(S1) each D_i is a disc and $D_i\cap D_j=\emptyset$ for $i\neq j$,
(S2) $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a null sequence, i.e. the diameters of D_i tend to zero, as $i\to\infty$.
(S3) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}D_i$ is dense in $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Whyburn [16] proved that Sierpiński curve does not depend on the choice of the sequence of discs $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, that is any two Sierpiński curves are homeomorphic.

2.1. **Topological notions of mixing.** A dynamical system (X,T) is topologically mixing if for any two nonempty open sets U,V there is an $N>0$ such that $T^n(U)\cap V\neq\emptyset$ for all $n\geq N$. There are many different extensions of the above property to characterize stronger mixing in the system. From the point of view of our work the following two are very important. It is not hard to see that they imply topological mixing.

In his seminal paper [3] Bowen introduced an important, strong version of mixing, called (periodic) specification property. Let $T\colon X\to X$ be a continuous onto map. Following Bowen, we say that (X,T) has the *specification property* if for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a positive integer $N=N(\varepsilon)$ such that for any integer $s\geq 2$, any s points $y_1,\dots,y_s\in X$, and any sequence $0=j_1\leq k_1<j_2\leq k_2<\cdots<j_s\leq k_s$ of $2s$ integers with $j_{m+1}-k_m\geq N$ for $m=1,\dots,s-1$, there is a point $x\in X$ such that, for each positive integer $m\leq s$ and all integers i with $j_m\leq i\leq k_m$, the following two conditions hold: $d(T^i(x),T^i(y_m))<\varepsilon$. If, in addition, we can always select x as a periodic point such that $T^{k_m-j_1+N}(x)=x$ then (X,T) has the *periodic specification property*. Note that the problem of characterizing the relations between various types of mixing for maps in specified classes of one-dimensional continua is of high interest (e.g. see [9] and references therein).

2.2. **Invariant measures.** Let X be a compact metric space with metric d and let $M(X)$ be the space of Borel probability measures on X equipped with the *Lévy-Prokhorov metric* ρ defined by

$$\rho(\mu,\nu)=\inf\{\varepsilon\colon \mu(A)\leq\nu(A^{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon \text{ for all Borel subsets } A\subset X\},$$

where $A^\delta = \{x : \operatorname{dist}(x, A) < \delta\}$. The topology induced by ρ coincides with the weak*-topology on $M(X)$. It is also well known that $(M(X), \rho)$ is a compact metric space. For a dynamical system (X, T) we denote by $M_T(X)$ the set of all T-invariant measures. For more details on Lévy-Prokhorov metric and weak*-topology the reader is referred to [10], and basic properties related to invariant measures (ergodicity, strong mixing, Bernoulli shift) can be found in [15].

2.3. **Quasi-Hyperbolic Toral Automorphisms.** Let A be a 2×2 matrix with integer entries such that $|\det A| = 1$. Then A^{-1} also has integer entries, and so A induces a homeomorphism of the 2-dimensional torus $F\colon \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ by $F(x) = Ax (\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$, e.g. see [4] for more details. Since $|\det A| = 1$, every toral automorphism preserves Lebesgue measure. It is known that the periodic points of an ergodic toral automorphism are exactly those with rational coordinates (see [6, Proposition 24.7]). It was first proved by Adler and Weiss for $\mathbb{T}^2$ and then extended by Katznelson to each $\mathbb{T}^n$, that if toral automorphism is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then it is measure-theoretically conjugate to a Bernoulli shift (e.g. see [6, Theorem 24.6]). Following Lind [12] we say that F is *quasi-hyperbolic* if A does not have roots of unity as eigenvalues. In dimension 2 every quasi-hyperbolic automorphism must be hyperbolic, that is, it does not have eigenvalues on the unit circle, and has strong specification property [12].

2.4. **Branched Covering from $\mathbb{T}^2$ to $\mathbb{S}^2$.** Represent $\mathbb{T}^2$ as $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Take a quotient of $\mathbb{T}^2$ by the relation J, that identifies (x, y) with $(-x, -y)$. J induces a branched covering map $\pi\colon \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ (see e.g. [15, p. 140]), which is 2-to-1 except at four branch points in $\mathbb{T}^2$ given by $\mathcal{C} = \{(0,0), (1/2,0), (0,1/2), (1/2,1/2)\}$. Since the relation J is preserved by any toral automorphism, for every toral automorphism F we obtain a factor map $G\colon \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $G \circ \pi = \pi \circ F$. Note that if $x \notin \mathcal{C} \cup F^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ then there is an open neighborhood U of x such that U has at most one element of any equivalence class of the relation J and the same holds for $F(U)$. Then on U the factor map π is a local isometry.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Start with "Arnold's cat map" $F\colon \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ on the torus given by

$$F(x, y) = (2x + y, x + y) (\mathrm{mod}\ 1). \tag{1}$$

Clearly F is hyperbolic with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{-\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, hence it has periodic specification property. There is also an invariant foliation of $\mathbb{T}^2$ by lines $\mathcal{L}$, that is $F(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$. Denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^2$ by λ. F has a dense set of periodic points and $(\mathbb{T}^2, F, \lambda)$ is measure-theoretically conjugate to Bernoulli shift. Let $\pi\colon \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ be the quotient map from Section 2.4 and let G be the induced homeomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^2$. Since G is a factor of F, $(\mathbb{S}^2, G, \mu)$ is Bernoulli with respect to a fully supported measure μ which is a push-forward of λ by π (see [15, Theorem 4.29(ii)]). Let $\mathcal{G} = \pi(\mathcal{L})$. Clearly $\mathcal{G}$ is an invariant foliation of $\mathbb{S}^2$; i.e. $G(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$.

Let $\mathcal{O} = \{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a dense family of periodic orbits of G. We assume that each $O_n \cap \pi(\mathcal{C}) = \emptyset$ and that $\operatorname{Per}(G) \setminus \mathcal{O}$ is dense in $\mathbb{S}^2$.

We will modify G inductively, blowing up consecutive periodic orbit. Take $O_1 \in \mathcal{O}$, and assume that it has period p_1, say $O_1 = \{c, G(c), \ldots, G^{p_1-1}(c)\}$. Since $\pi^{-1}(O_1) \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$, there are open discs $D_0, \ldots, D_{p_1-1}$ such that $\pi(D_i) \cap \pi(D_j) = \emptyset$

for $i \neq j$ and π is 1-1 on each D_i. Let $U_i = \pi(D_i)$. Then we have a natural foliation of each U_i (induced locally from D_i) by $G^i(x) \cap \mathcal{G}$, such that if $L \subset U_i$ is a sufficiently short line emerging from $G^i(c)$ then $G(L) \cap U_{i+1}$ is contained in the corresponding line. In other words, we have a continuous foliation of a neighborhood of each point $G^i(c)$ into lines, and G preserves these lines.

Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \{l^i_\theta : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ be a foliation of a neighborhood U_i of $G^i(c)$ mentioned above by the lines emanating from $G^i(c)$. We remove O_1 and compactify each $\operatorname{cl} U_i \setminus \{G^i(c)\}$ by a topological copy S^i_c of the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ adding, for a fixed i, a point $\theta_i \in S^i_c$ compactifying the leaf l^i_θ. That way we obtain a p_1-punctured sphere S_1. We may easily extend G to a map $H_1 \colon S_1 \to S_1$ by setting $H_1(\theta_i) = \theta'_j$, where $G(l^i_\theta) = l^j_{\theta'}$, $j = i + 1 (\mathrm{mod}\ p_1)$, and $\theta'_j \in S^j_c$. Clearly H_1 defined that way is invertible with a continuous inverse, so H_1 is a homeomorphism of S_1. Observe that the dynamics of all other points under H_1 in S_1 is exactly the same as on $\mathbb{S}^2$ for G. Hence we can repeat this procedure, puncturing S_2 and replacing the periodic orbit O_2 by a periodic sequence of circles. Inductively, we obtain a sequence of spheres with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i$-holes S_n, homeomorphisms $H_n \colon S_n \to S_n$ and factor maps $\pi_n \colon S_n \to S_{n-1}$ which collapse newly introduced circles into points of O_{n-1}, where $S_0 = \mathbb{S}^2$ and $H_0 = G$. In other words, π_n reverts the modification made in step n. Clearly, each π_n is a continuous onto map and $\pi_n \circ H_{n+1} = H_n \circ \pi_n$.

Embed each S_n in $\mathbb{S}^2$ in a natural way, and extend π_n to a map $\eta_n \colon \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ in the following way. If D is an open disc bounded by S_n in $\mathbb{S}^2$ then we fix any $y \in \partial D \cap S_n$ and define $\eta_n(x) = y$ for every $x \in D$. Since π_n collapses the circle ∂D to a point, each η_n is an almost homeomorphism; i.e. it can be obtained as a uniform sequence of homeomorphism. Denote by S_∞ the inverse limit of spaces S_n with bonding maps π_n and by Q_∞ the inverse limit of spheres $\mathbb{S}^2$ with η_n as bonding maps; i.e.

$$S_\infty = \{(z_0, z_1, \ldots) : \pi_n(z_n) = z_{n-1})\},$$

$$Q_\infty = \{(z_0, z_1, \ldots) : \eta_n(z_n) = z_{n-1})\}.$$

Since each η_n is an almost homeomorphism, a result of Brown [5, Theorem 4] implies that Q_∞ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. Observe that if we fix any $c \in O_n$ then the set B of all inverse sequences in Q_∞ with c on the first coordinate is homeomorphic to a disc. Simply, after dropping n first coordinates we see that B is an inverse limit of a disk D with the identity as a unique bounding map. But $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty O_n = \mathbb{S}^2$, hence S_∞ satisfies conditions (S1)–(S3) and so is a Sierpiński curve. Observe that if we put $H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \ldots \times H_n \times \ldots$ then $H(S_\infty) = S_\infty$, hence H is a homeomorphism of the Sierpiński curve. Let $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty O_n$ and $M_\infty = \{(z_0, z_1, \ldots) \in S_\infty : z_0 \in M\}$ be the set of all inverse sequences in S_∞ with the first coordinate in M. It follows directly from the construction that if $z \in M_\infty$ then $z = (x, x, x \ldots)$ for some $x \in M$ and $H(z) = (G(x), G(x), \ldots)$. Since periodic orbits of G in M are dense in $\mathbb{S}^2$, it is not hard to see that H has a dense set of periodic points. The set M_∞ is Borel, so for any Borel set $U \in S_\infty$ we can view $U \cap M_\infty$ as a Borel subset of M (by projection onto the first coordinate) and so we obtain a well defined H-invariant Borel probability measure $\nu(U) = \mu(U \cap M_\infty)$. The measure μ is ergodic, so we have $\mu(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus M_\infty) = 0$, hence also $\nu(S_\infty \setminus M_\infty) = 0$, and so ν and μ are isomorphic, in particular (S_∞, H, ν) is measure-theoretically conjugate to a Bernoulli shift. Take any open set U in S_∞. We claim that $\nu(U) > 0$. Indeed, the basic open sets in S_∞ are given by $U_\infty = (\eta_1 \circ \ldots \circ \eta_{i-1}(U_i), \ldots, \eta_{i-1}(U_i), U_i, \eta_i^{-1}(U_i), \ldots)$, where $U_i \subseteq S_i$



FIGURE 1. Phase portrait for a hyperbolic point before and after a "blow-up"

is open, for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. Theorem 3 on p.79 in [8]). Since S_i is a sphere with a finite number of holes, the Lebesgue measure of U_i in S_i is positive and $\nu(U_\infty) = \nu(U_\infty \cap M_\infty) = \mu(U_i \cap M)$, therefore U_∞ has positive product measure. This shows that ν has full support, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2(1).

It remains to prove (3). Assume on the contrary that H has the specification property. Since the specification property is preserved under higher iterations, H^{p_1} has the specification property, where p_1 is the period of O_1. For simplicity of notation replace H by H^{p_1} and A by A^{p_1}. By [14, Theorem 2.1] the specification property implies that for every invariant measure $\mu \in M_T(S_\infty)$ there exists a sequence of ergodic measures such that $\mu_n \to \mu$, when $n \to \infty$, in Lévy-Prohorov metric. Since we blew up a hyperbolic periodic point c in O_1 in the first step of our construction, after passing from A to the coordinates giving its Jordan form, we have locally a phase portrait (for G and H) as on Figure 1. Let us start with the following observation. Consider the hyperbolic linear map $f(x, y) = (ax, by)$ where $0 < a < 1 < b$ and $ab = 1$. Let $D = [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]^2$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$. Now let $z = (p, q) \in D$ with $|p| \geq |q|$. Next assume that the trajectory of z is not fully contained in D. Then there exists a minimal $m \geq 1$ such that $a^m|p| \geq b^m|q|$ and $a^{m+1}|p| < b^{m+1}|q|$. Observe that $b^{2m}|q| < \varepsilon$ as otherwise $\varepsilon \leq b^{2m}|q| = a^{-m}b^m|q| \leq |p|$ and so $(p, q) \notin D$ which is a contradiction. Now, let v be a compactification of the leaf representing the stable direction for hyperbolic point c and take a small neighborhood U of v. Let $U' = \pi(U)$ where π is the natural factor map $\pi\colon (S_\infty, H) \to (\mathbb{S}^2, G)$. If U is sufficiently small, then $\pi(U) \subset D$ and furthermore, if $(p, q) \in \pi(U)$ then $|p| \geq |q|$.

Fix any periodic point $u \in S_\infty$, say of period s, and consider the invariant measure $\hat{\mu} = (1 - \alpha)\delta_c + \frac{\alpha}{s}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \delta_{H^i(u)}$ with a small α, say $\alpha < \frac{1}{10}$. Assume also that $\pi(u) \notin D$. Take $\delta < 2s\alpha$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(c, \{u, H(u), \ldots, H^{s-1}(u)\}) > 3\varepsilon$. Let δ be small enough, so that there exists an open set $c \in V$ such that $B(V, 2\delta) \subset U$ and $\pi(B(u, 2\delta)) \cap D = \emptyset$. Denote $W = B(u, 2\delta)$. There exists an ergodic measure $\hat{\nu}$ such that $\rho(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mu}) < \delta$. This implies that $\hat{\nu}(U) \geq \hat{\nu}(V^\delta) \geq \hat{\mu}(V) - \delta \geq 4/5$ and $\hat{\nu}(W) \geq \hat{\mu}(\{u\}^\delta) - \delta > 0$. By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem there exists $x \in S_\infty$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\{j < n : H^j(x) \in U\} = \hat{\nu}(U)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\{j < n : H^j(x) \in W\} = \hat{\nu}(W)$. Since $\hat{\nu}(W) > 0$ there exists an increasing sequence k_i such that $H^{k_i}(x) \in W$. Let us estimate the number of iterations $k_i \leq j < k_{i+1}$ such that $H^j(x) \in U$. Observe that if $\pi(H^{k_i}(x)) \notin D$ and $\pi(H^{k_{i+1}}(x)) \notin D$ then, by the earlier analysis, we see that no more than half of iterations $H^j(x)$ for $j = k_i + 1, ..., k_{i+1}$ can visit U. This implies that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{k_i}|\{j : H^j(x) \in U\}| \leq 1/2$. By the choice of x we

obtain that $\hat{\nu}(U) = 1/2 < 4/5$ which is a contradiction. This shows that (S_∞, H) does not have the specification property, completing the proof.

4. Acknowledgments

The authors express many thanks to L'ubomir Snoha for some helpful discussions on the properties of Besicovitch's homeomorphism used in the construction in [1] and Andrzej Biś for discussion on constructions in [2] and related topics.

The authors' work was supported by the European Regional Development Fund in the IT4Innovations Center of Excellence project (CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0070).

J. Boroński also gratefully acknowledges the partial support from the MSK DT1 Support of Science and Research in the Moravian-Silesian Region 2014 (RRC/07/2014).

References

1. Aarts, Jan M.; Oversteegen, Lex G. *The dynamics of the Sierpiński curve.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), no. 3, 965–968.
2. Biś, Andrzej; Nakayama, Hiromichi; Walczak, Paweł. Modelling minimal foliated spaces with positive entropy. Hokkaido Math. J. 36 (2007), no. 2, 283–310.
3. Bowen, R. *Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 377-397.
4. Brin, Michael; Stuck,Garrett.*Introduction to dynamical systems.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
5. Brown, M. *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 478-483.
6. Denker, Manfred; Grillenberger, Christian; Sigmund, Karl. *Ergodic theory on compact spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 527. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
7. Devaney, Robert L. *Cantor and Sierpinski, Julia and Fatou: complex topology meets complex dynamics.* Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (2004), no. 1, 9–15.
8. Engelking, R. *Zarys topologii ogólnej. (Polish) [Outline of general topology]* Biblioteka Matematyczna, Tom 25 Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1965.
9. Hoehn, Logan; Mouron, Christopher. *Hierarchies of chaotic maps on continua.* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 34 (2014), no. 6, 1897–1913.
10. Huber,P. J. *Robust statistics.* Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981.
11. Kato, Hisiao *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of peano continua in the plane.* Topology Appl. 34 (1990), 161–165.
12. Lind, D. A. *Ergodic group automorphisms and specification.* Ergodic theory (Proc. Conf., Math. Forschungsinst., Oberwolfach, 1978), pp. 93–104, Lecture Notes in Math., 729, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
13. Marcus, Brian.*A note on periodic points for ergodic toral automorphisms.* Monatsh. Math. 89 (1980), no. 2, 121–129.
14. Pfister, C.-E.; Sullivan, W. G. *Large deviations estimates for dynamical systems without the specification property. Applications to the β-shifts.* Nonlinearity 18 (2005), no. 1, 237–261.
15. Walters, Peter. *An introduction to ergodic theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
16. Whyburn, G. T. *Topological characterization of the Sierpiński curve.* Fund. Math. 45 (1958) 320–324.

National Supercomputing Centre IT4Innovations, Division of the University of Ostrava, Institute for Research and Applications of Fuzzy Modeling, 30. dubna 22, 70103 Ostrava, Czech Republic – and – Faculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: jan.boronski@osu.cz
E-mail address: oprocha@agh.edu.pl